

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/299,139	04/23/1999	JEFFREY BROWNING	A013 2882		
7590 10/20/2005			EXAMINER		
AMY E. MANDRAGOURAS LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H		
28 STATE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02109			1643		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/299,139	BROWNING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher H. Yaen	1643		

	Christopher H. Yaen	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ing date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see N w);	OTE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of infally f	ejected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	<u>102</u> .		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>51,53,55-56,59,71-73,75,77-78,84,86,8</u>	<u>8-89,95-100,102-104,106108-1</u> 1	2,114, and 116-121.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:	SHEELA HUFF PRIMARY EXAMINER	Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1643	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments concerning the written descrption rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph is not deemed persuasvie. Specifically, applicant argues that the term soluble LT-beta receptor (LTBR) is defined in the specification and that the inventors have characterized the domain. However, no specific domain has been specifically identified as being the critical portion for ligand binding in order for the genus to be encompassed. Thus the written description for the braod genus cannot be represented by the limited number of species that are presented in the specification. Therefore the rejection is maintained.